

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

Kelly L. Stephens
Clerk

100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM 540
POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000
www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: October 31, 2025

Ms. Sheryl Tattiana Hurst
Hurst Immigration
P.O. Box 171266
Memphis, TN 38187

Mr. Aaron Nelson
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Immigration Litigation
P.O. Box 878
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044-0878

Re: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] v. *Pamela Bondi*
Originating Case No. A [REDACTED]

Dear Counsel,

The Court issued the enclosed Order today in this case.

Sincerely yours,

s/Kelly Stephens
Appeal Case Manager: Robin
Direct Dial No. 513-564-7014

cc: EOIR Originating Clerk
Office of Immigration Litigation

Enclosure

No. 25- [REDACTED]

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**FILED**

Oct 31, 2025

KELLY L. STEPHENS, Clerk

M [REDACTED] C [REDACTED] A [REDACTED]

Petitioner,

v.

PAMELA BONDI, U.S. Attorney General,

Respondent.

ORDER

[REDACTED], a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals after it recalendared her removal proceedings and dismissed her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. She moves for a stay of removal pending our review of her petition. Petitioner is detained and asserts that her removal is possibly imminent, but the Attorney General has not yet notified us whether Petitioner's removal has been scheduled, and, if so, the earliest date upon which she will be removed. *See* 6 Cir. R. 18(b)(2).

Although we have not adopted a formal standard for when an administrative stay is appropriate, *see Breeze Smoke, LLC v. U.S. Food & Drug Admin.*, No. 21-3902, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 32268, at *1–2 (6th Cir. Oct. 27, 2021) (order), other circuits “have held that the ‘touchstone’ of this analysis is whether an administrative stay is ‘need[ed] to preserve the status quo,’” *Arizona v. Biden*, No. 22-3272, 2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 9522, at *2–3 (6th Cir. Apr. 8, 2022) (order) (alteration in original) (quoting *Nat’l Urb. League v. Ross*, 977 F.3d 698, 702 (9th Cir. 2020) (order)). Given the uncertainty surrounding Petitioner’s imminent removal, we believe an


No. 25-3872

-2-

administrative stay is necessary “to give the court sufficient opportunity to consider the merits of” the motion for a stay. *Id.* at *2 (quoting *Brady v. Nat’l Football League*, 638 F.3d 1004, 1005 (8th Cir. 2011))

Accordingly, Petitioner’s removal is **ADMINISTRATIVELY STAYED** pending further order of this court.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT


Kelly L. Stephens, Clerk